

REMARKS

The to notice of drawing inconsistency with specification mailed July 10, 2007 indicated that there were no figures 9 and 10 and required the references to figures 9 and 10 be deleted from the specification.

During prosecution, the applicant amended the application by adding figures 9 and 10 and references to those figures in the specification. Upon review of the prosecution history, it appears that the USPTO improperly entered the amendment to the specification adding reference to figures 9 and 10 while not entering the corresponding amendment to the drawings adding figures 9 and 10. The record on this point is unclear since it relies upon certain unclear statements by the examiner. In any case, the applicant is satisfied with non entry of figures 9 and 10 and corresponding references thereto in the specification assuming that does not affect allowability of the application. Accordingly, the applicant hereby amends the specification to remove the references to figures 9 and 10.

Respectfully Submitted,

7/16/2007

/RichardNeifeld#35,299/

DATE

Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

BTM/ran

Date/Time: July 16, 2007 (11:53am)

Y:\Clients\Edwards\EDWA0019\EDWA0019U-USX\Drafts\Response_EDWA0019U-USX_7-12-2007.wpd